and helped reunite the victims with their families, allowing them to recover their identity and their history. I want to commend the Grandmothers of Plaza de Mayo on their efforts and their dedication in reuniting children who disappeared during the military dictatorship that ruled Argentina from 1976 to 1983 with their legitimate families.

Mr. Speaker, in 1976, the armed forces of Argentina began a process of systematically violating some of the most fundamental human rights. This despotism resulted in the disappearance of over 30,000 persons, including hundreds of children. The Grandmothers of Plaza de Mayo have used many different tactics to search for these children who disappeared during the brutal tyranny of the military regime. Their primary purpose is to preserve the identity, roots and history of these children, which are the fundamental basis for human dignity.

Fortunately, advances in science and technology have made it possible for these families to be reunited. Blood tests prove, with 99.95 percent accuracy, that a child comes from a particular family. This is a difficult process, for which the professionals and volunteers involved must be commended.

The Grandmothers of Plaza de Mayo have committed themselves to this praiseworthy endeavor. I am grateful for all they have accomplished, and I urge my colleagues to join me in commending them for their outstanding efforts and devotion to the cause of bringing justice to the families who suffered under Argentina's brutal military regime.

MEDICARE, MEDICAID, AND SCHIP BALANCED BUDGET REFINE-MENT ACT OF 1999

SPEECH OF

## HON. ROGER F. WICKER

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, November 5, 1999

Mr. WICKER. Mr. Speaker, the Balanced Budget Act included provisions to safeguard the long term solvency of the Medicare system, but for a number of reasons the mandated reductions exceeded estimates and provided a lower level of reimbursement than Congress directed. The Medicare Balanced Budget Refinement Act corrects this problem and restores vital funding to the Medicare program to allow health care providers to meet the needs of their communities.

This important legislation will ease the financial crisis which has threatened the quality of health care service for millions of Americans. I am pleased we have been able to work in a bipartisan fashion to bring relief to the small rural community hospitals which provides the foundation for rural America.

I am hopeful that in addition to the supporting this legislation, the Health Care Financing Administration will make the needed administrative changes to ensure that small rural hospitals will receive adequate Medicare reimbursement. I look forward to working with HCFA and member of both political parties to restore balance to the Medicare system.

THE ARTISTS' CONTRIBUTION TO AMERICAN HERITAGE ACT

## HON. AMO HOUGHTON

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, November 8, 1999

Mr. HOUGHTON. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleague from Maryland, Mr. CARDIN, together with a bipartisan group of our colleagues, in introducing the "Artists' Contribution to American Heritage Act of 1999." The bill would alleviate an unfairness in the tax law as it applies to charitable donations of property by the taxpayer/creator and significantly enhance the ability of museums and public libraries to acquire important original works by artists, writers and composers, and ensure the preservation of these works for future generations.

Since 1969, the law has provided that the creator of the artistic property is only allowed a charitable deduction equal to the cost of the materials that went into the property. For example, an established artist who donates a painting to the local museum is allowed a deduction for the cost of the canvas, brushes and paint, etc., used to produce the painting. Of course, these amounts are de minimis. There is no real tax incentive to contribute such works of art for the public to enjoy. In fact, the tax law works in the other direction. It makes more financial sense to the creator to sell his or her work. If a collector or art buff buys a painting that appreciates over time, because the artist becomes well-established or was a known and collected artist when the painting was purchased, the collector is allowed a deduction for fair market value when the painting is contributed to the local museum. This is the fairness issue.

There has not always been such disparate tax treatment. Before 1969, the artists/tax-payers received the same treatment—the deduction was based on fair market value. The law was changed, primarily because of the perception that some taxpayers were taking advantage of the law through less than accurate valuations of their charitable gifts.

After the change in 1969, gifts of donor generated art work (paintings, manuscripts, compositions, artistic and historically significant correspondence and papers) to qualifying charitable organizations and governmental entities dropped significantly. Creators were more likely to sell their works than to contribute them. Tom Downey, a former colleague of ours, introduced similar legislation in 1985. In his floor statement he noted that Igor Stravinsky had planned to donate his papers to the Music Division of the Library of Congress the month the 1969 tax change was signed into law. Instead, the papers were sold to a private foundation in Switzerland. Now, 14 vears later the situation has not improved. It is time to change our law to encourage rather than discourage such contributions.

There have been significant changes in the valuation process since 1969. All taxpayers making charitable contributions of art work (other than donor generated art work) are required to: (a) provide and/or retain relevant information as to the value of the gift, (b) provide appraisals by qualified appraisers or, in

some cases, (c) subject them to review by the IRS's Art Advisory Panel, depending on the dollar amount of the contribution. These changes would apply to creator-donated property under our proposal.

In addition to the valuation safeguards already in the law, our proposal would add additional protections to prevent abuse. These include the following: (a) limiting the value of the deduction to the amount of income the creator received from similar property, (b) providing that the deduction can only be claimed in the year of contribution, i.e., the carryover rules do not apply, (c) limiting the deduction to property created at least 18 months before the contribution, (d) limiting the deduction to gifts related to the purpose of the institution which receives it, and (e) excluding contributions of property (letters, memos, etc.) created by taxpayers in their role as employees or officers of an organization.

The benefit to the nation when artists are encouraged to contribute their work during their lifetime cannot be overemphasized. It allows the public, historians, scholars and others to learn from the artist his/hers aesthetic aims for the work; how it was intended to be displayed, performed, or interpreted; and what influences affected the artist.

Our proposal represents an important step in providing some tax incentive, with needed safeguards, for the creators and moves toward putting them on the same footing as collectors who contribute similar property. Most importantly, it could make the difference in a decision by the creator/donator to contribute some of their created art works to a museum or public library, rather than sell them in the market-place. That way important works are preserved in the public domain and we all benefit. We urge our colleagues to join us in cosponsoring this legislation.

A TRIBUTE TO JIM COX FOR 30 YEARS AS CITY MANAGER OF VICTORVILLE, CALIFORNIA

## HON. JERRY LEWIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, November 8, 1999

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like today to recognize the remarkable career of Jim Cox, who came to Victorville, California in 1967 as an administrative assistant, became city manager in 1969 and guided the city in that position for 30 years until his recent retirement.

Jim Cox began his public service—and his time in California—when he joined the Navy at 17 and moved to San Diego to be a medic. He first joined city government as an intern in La Mesa, California, while attending San Diego State College. After serving as assistant city manager of Indio for two years, he went to work in the Mojave Desert hub of Victorville—population 11,290.

He quickly took on increasing responsibility, going from administrative assistant in charge of finance and personnel, to Director of Planning, Assistant City Manager, and finally City Manager in December 1969.

The city budget that year was \$750,000. His final budget, submitted this year, was for \$72 million, for a city with a population of 63,478.